

**Reading (from Cheney and Kincaid):** §8 (pp. 370–374), 8.1 (pp. 374–380), 8.2 (pp. 383–389), 8.3 (pp. 395–398, and “Stability Analysis” section), 9.1 (just the sections “Vector Notation”, “Systems of ODEs”, and “Runge-Kutta Method”), 9.2 (through the section “Higher-Order Differential Equations”).

1. Consider the IVP  $x' = f(t, x)$ ,  $x(t_0) = x_0$ . In class we derived several algorithms for approximating  $x(t_0 + h)$ , where  $h$  is a small time-step. One of these algorithms was the “2nd order Taylor” algorithm:

$$x(t_0 + h) \approx x_0 + hf(t_0, x_0) + \frac{h^2}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t_0, x_0) + f(t_0, x_0) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t_0, x_0) \right)$$

which we derived by expanding  $x(t_0 + h)$  in a Taylor series up to the  $h^2$  term, and then applying the differential equation to write derivatives of  $x(t)$  in terms of  $f$  and its derivatives. In a similar way, derive the following “3rd order Taylor” algorithm for solving an IVP:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t_0 + h) \approx & x_0 + hf(t_0, x_0) + \frac{h^2}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t_0, x_0) + f(t_0, x_0) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t_0, x_0) \right) \\ & + \frac{h^3}{6} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2}(t_0, x_0) + 2f(t_0, x_0) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t \partial x}(t_0, x_0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t_0, x_0) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t_0, x_0) \right. \\ & \left. + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t_0, x_0) \right)^2 f(t_0, x_0) + (f(t_0, x_0))^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(t_0, x_0) \right] \end{aligned}$$

2. Consider the Runge-Kutta-2 method we saw in class:

$$x(t_0 + h) \approx x_0 + hf \left( t_0 + \frac{h}{2}, x_0 + \frac{h}{2} f(t_0, x_0) \right)$$

and an additional RK2 method:

$$x(t_0 + h) \approx x_0 + \frac{1}{4}hf(t_0, x_0) + \frac{3}{4}hf \left( t_0 + \frac{2h}{3}, x_0 + \frac{2h}{3}f(t_0, x_0) \right)$$

(a) Determine which method should be expected to be more accurate, by Taylor-expanding through the  $h^3$  term and comparing to the 3rd order Taylor expansion from Problem 1. How should their accuracy compare to the 2nd order Taylor method (which has no  $h^3$  term)? Here is the relevant formula for 2-variable Taylor expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t + \Delta t, x + \Delta x) \approx & f(t, x) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x)\Delta t + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x)\Delta x \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t^2}(t, x)(\Delta t)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(t, x)(\Delta x)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial t \partial x}(t, x)(\Delta t)(\Delta x) \end{aligned}$$

(b) Perform one step of 2nd order Taylor and each of the RK2 algorithms on the IVP

$$x' = (1 + t)(1 + x^2), \quad x(0) = 1$$

with  $h = 0.2$ , and compare their accuracy to the exact solution  $x(t) = \tan\left(\frac{\pi+4t+2t^2}{4}\right)$ .

*The following two problems require computations using Runge-Kutta-4 algorithms. On the course Web page, I've provided sample RK4 Mathematica code that you can alter to do the computations.*

3. We have seen some methods earlier in the course for approximating definite integrals  $\int_a^b f(x)dx$ . Show how you can use an IVP to approximate the value of the integral  $\int_0^3 e^{-x^2/2}dx$ . (Hint: Consider the following function of  $t$ :  $g(t) = \int_0^t e^{-x^2/2}dx$ .) Use a Runge-Kutta-4 algorithm to determine an approximate value for this integral, and give an estimate for the accuracy of your answer, given what you know about the accuracy of RK4.

4. Use a Runge-Kutta-4 algorithm with  $h = 0.5$  to solve

$$x' = x(x-1)(x-2)/4, \quad x(0) = 0.5;$$

compare to the exact solution

$$x(t) = \frac{-0.25 - 0.75e^{t/2} + \sqrt{0.0625 + 0.1875e^{t/2}}}{-0.25 - 0.75e^{t/2}}$$

at  $t = 1$ . Use a Runge-Kutta-4 algorithm with  $h = 0.5$  to solve

$$x' = x(x-1)(x-2)/4, \quad x(0) = 2.5;$$

compare to the exact solution

$$x(t) = \frac{-2.25 + 1.25e^{t/2} - \sqrt{5.0625 - 2.8125e^{t/2}}}{-2.25 + 1.25e^{t/2}}$$

at  $t = 1$ . Compare the accuracy of your two runs. On paper, analyze the instability of the IVP to explain this difference.